

CHDO Staff Experience & Organizational Capacity Instructions
Program Year 2016 CHDO Funding

Staff Development Experience

1. Staff classification and documentation – To be counted as staff, the person must be employed by the CHDO, and documentation is needed.

- a. Full time or part time employment – This would be evidenced by a payroll report or a W-4 or a W-2
- b. Contracted staff – This would be evidenced by a “contract” for employment and a W-9 and 1099 (at the end of a year).

2. Relevant development experience – Document the basis for answers to the applicable project type.

- a. Homeownership development – Has the staff person been involved in the acquisition, rehabilitation/construction and sale of homebuyer housing? Previous experience purely in counseling, marketing, or financing activities is not sufficient to be considered development experience.
- b. Rental development – Has the staff person been involved in the acquisition, rehabilitation/construction and/or ownership/operation of rental housing?

Developer Capacity & Fiscal Soundness

3. Past and current performance

- a. Has the CHDO performed adequately in the past in HOME, CHDO, and/or other real estate development activities?
- b. Is the CHDO currently in good standing on all its development and administrative activities? Does it show the capacity to take on this additional activity and continue to manage everything that it has ongoing?

4. Capacity – CHDO Organization

- a. Organizational structure – Can the current corporation structure support housing development activities, or is there a need for a subsidiary or other organizational structure for future development? Are there operations or activities that need to be organizationally separate from housing development activities and portfolios?
- b. Management structure/practices – Does the current management have the ability to manage additional development activities? Are the corporate lines of authority for development activities clear? Are policies & procedures in place governing development activities?
- c. Pipeline/portfolio – What does the CHDO have as its current project pipeline and program responsibilities? Will it be able to handle the additional project proposed? If the organization pursues housing development, what other activities are likely to suffer or not be able to be pursued due to the effort required for development activities? Does its portfolio of projects/properties evidence competent management and oversight? Do the properties appear to have adequate funding?

d. Staff capacity – Do(es) the identified staff have the time to direct toward management of the proposed project? How strong are staff in the following areas: Legal/financial aspects of housing development? Management of real estate development? Oversight of design & construction management? Marketing & intake? Property management (if applicable)? Are staff encouraged to obtain training and develop new skills? What is their potential for learning skills that they currently do not have?

e. Board expertise/skills – Do board members have professional skills directly relevant to housing development (e.g., real estate, legal, architecture, finance, management)? Has the board demonstrated the ability to make timely decisions? Is there a good relationship between board and staff? Does the board have a committee structure or other means of overseeing planning and development? Has there been stability/continuity of board members over the last several years?

f. Project specific capacity for marketing & sale of homebuyer units or operation of rental units – If a homebuyer project, does the CHDO experience and capacity to market the units and counsel and qualify homebuyers? If a rental project, does the CHDO experience and capacity to oversee the marketing, management and ownership of the project?

5. Development Team Capacity

a. Development team roles – Are all of the key development team roles filled with qualified individuals or firms?

b. Partner/consultant – Does the CHDO have a need for a partner or a consultant to supplement its skills and help it to ensure success, while still maintaining development control?

c. Prior experience – Do team members have prior experience directly relevant to the proposed project?

d. Experience working together – Have the team members worked together before or demonstrated the ability to work effectively as a team?

6. Fiscal Soundness

a. Financial management – Is there evidence that the CHDO meets the 84.21 standards? Does it do annual budgeting of its operations and all activities or programs? Does it track and report budget v. actual income and expenses? Does it have adequate internal controls to ensure separation of duties & safeguarding of corporate assets? Is there sufficient oversight of all financial activities? Is financial reporting regular, current, and sufficient for the board to forecast and monitor the financial status of the corporation?

b. Financial stability – To what extent does the organization have a diversified and stable funding base for operations? How regularly does it experience cash flow problems?

c. Liquidity – Does CHDO management know its current cash position and maintain controls over expenditures? Does the current balance sheet and budget indicate sufficient funds to support essential operations? Does it have funds available for pre-development expenses capital advances required for development?

d. Audit – Does the CHDO have an annual audit? Is the most recent audit current? Were there management or compliance findings in the last two years? Are findings resolved?

e. Portfolio & corporate liabilities – If it has a portfolio of properties, are they in stable physical and financial condition or are they a drain on corporate resources? Are there assets at risk of default? Does it collect adequate revenues and management fees from the properties? Does it maintain adequate insurance – liability, fidelity bond, workers comp, property hazard, & project?

7. Other Factors

a. Community relations – How strong are the current reputation of the corporation and the relationship with the community? To what extent does NIMBY opposition exist to low income housing in the service area? To what extent do channels exist for the CHDO to negotiate with the community and potential opponents?

b. Local government relations – How strong is the CHDO's relationship with the local government? How strongly does local government support its housing activities?

c. Lender relations – Does the CHDO have good working relationships with lenders, especially those who might participate in the proposed project?